2.

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

26

25

27 28 morning of November 9, 2007. In that conversation, Mr. Apgood stated that he represented Defendant and sought Plaintiff's agreement to "sever" and "remove" the case to the Western District of Washington. I told Mr. Apgood that I would need to speak with my client regarding the unusual request, and would get back to Mr. Apgood promptly. Later that same afternoon, I left a voice message for Mr. Apgood asking him to contact me to discuss the proposed motion regarding severance and removal of the case. Mr. Apgood never returned my call. 3. On November 20, 2007, I drafted a letter to Mr. Appood and sent it to him by

Defendant's Counsel, Mr. Robert Apgood, first contacted me by telephone on the

- email and fax. A true and correct copy of this letter is attached hereto as Exhibit A. I sent a "carbon copy" of this same letter to Defendant directly in the same email in which I sent it to Mr. Apgood. I sent it to Defendant directly because she had been in communication directly with me prior to November 9 and in the intervening 12 days after November 9, I had not received a pro hac vice motion, a response to my voice message left on November 9, or any other confirmation either orally or in writing that that Mr. Apgood represented Defendant.
- 4. On November 27, 2007, I received an email from Mr. Apgood. A true and correct copy of that email is attached hereto as Exhibit B. For completeness of the record, my response letter emailed the same date, November 27, 2007, is attached hereto as Exhibit C.
- 5. As noted in my prior Declaration signed on January 29, 2008, at paragraph 2, I contacted Mr. Apgood on December 5, 2007, to seek consent for the filing of a First Amended Complaint in this action. During that December 5 phone conversation with Mr. Apgood, Mr. Apgood did not inform me that he was unable to consent because he did not have a sufficient opportunity to review the file. To the contrary, Mr. Apgood asserted concerns about venue and jurisdiction which he had raised nearly a month earlier in our first conversation on November 9, 2007.
- 6. I was not informed that Mr. Apgood had submitted a *pro hac vice* application with the Court until he told me he had filed it during our call on December 5. Thereafter, Mr. Apgood emailed the *pro hac vice* application to me after I requested it in our December 5 phone conversation. A true and correct copy of Mr. Apgood's December 5, 2007 email to me regarding

the pro hac vice application is attached hereto as Exhibit D, but the actual application exhibits are omitted from this declaration (the application is available in the Court's record as Docket Nos. 6, 7).

- 7. I noticed the hearing date for Plaintiff's motion to amend on the same date as the case management conference, January 29, 2008, so as to reduce the number of trips Defendant and/or her Counsel would have to make to California in this proceeding. A true and correct copy of my email dated December 21, 2007 to Mr. Apgood noting that the date would be the same as the scheduled case management conference is attached hereto as Exhibit E.
- 8. As noted in my declaration dated January 29, 2008, I am familiar with the hours worked and fees charged by attorneys at Vogele & Associates. I am also familiar with the copying and administrative costs billed by Vogele & Associates.
- 9. Preparing this reply brief and supporting declaration required an additional 10.3 hours of associate time and 6.4 hours of my time. These attorneys' fees total \$2,889. I anticipate that Plaintiff will also incur approximately \$100 in word processing and copying costs in preparation and filing this Reply Brief and supporting declaration, and approximately 3 hours of my time to prepare for and attend the hearing on this Motion. Accordingly, I estimate that the total attorneys' fees for preparing the motion for sanctions and accompanying declaration, the reply brief, this declaration, and attending the hearing will total \$4,907.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 19th day of February 2008, at San Francisco, California.

/S/	
Colette Vogele	

26 27

28